

REMARKS

The points raised by the Examiner in the Office Action of June 21, 2005 have been obviated by the above set forth amendment to the claims. The pending claims are all now limited to a process for stain removal on a textile, this stain removal on a textile is to be contrasted to the art cited by the Examiner which only relates to stain removal on non porous surfaces i.e table ware.

It is felt that the claims now distinguish over the cited prior art which only relates to stain removal on non porous surfaces.


In this connection the Examiner is respectfully referred to the points raised in the Amendment dated April 29, 2005, a copy of which is attached herewith for the Examiners convenience.

The Examiners attention is directed to the fact that all of the claims are in "a process for stain removal" format which is the format of the claims as originally filed. There is ample support for stain removal on textiles in the application as filed. In this regard the Examiner is referred to Examples 1-13.


Again the present pending claims are limited to stain removal on textile surfaces, hence the cited prior art is not a bar to the issuance of these claims.

For the above set forth reasons it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 USC 103 be withdrawn.

If the Examiner has further comments or suggestions she is respectfully asked to call the applicant's counsel at (813) 962-0817.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald R. Bahr
Attorney for Applicant
2608 Merida Lane
Tampa, Florida 33618

I hereby certify that this amendment is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to
Commissioner for Patents, Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313



Donald R. Bahr

7/1/05

Date of Signature